

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/046,968	HOLTKAMP, REINHOLD	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Wendy C Haas	1661	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Wendy C Haas.

(3) _____.

(2) Attorney Richard Peete.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 November 2004

Time: 3:30 p.m.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Enablement and Written Description Rejections of Record

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted applicant's representative to note that Allowable subject matter has been indicated. Applicant's attorney declined to amend the application to place it in condition for allowance. Mr. Peete and the Examiner discussed the breadth of the claims and how the Wands factors apply to the present claims. Both Mr. Peete and the Examiner agreed that a personal interview may be needed at a later date to resolve outstanding issues in this case regarding the breadth of the claims..